

Process for developing good practice statement	Supporting information	Verification																				
<i>Write Good Practice Statement</i>																						
<i>Does the statement follow a PICO prioritization process?</i>		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No																				
<b>If you answered No →</b> 1) Explain why the PICO question was not prioritized but is being addressed → avoid developing any type of actionable statement that acts as an independent response to the PICO question.																						
<i>Are the Population and Intervention components clear?</i>		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No																				
<b>If you answered No →</b> Check whether you are developing an implementation consideration (refer to flow chart in Lotfi et al.(8))																						
Items to complete	Supporting information	Verification																				
<b><i>All the following criteria must be fulfilled to consider the statement a GPS.</i></b>																						
(1) Message is really necessary in regard to actual health care practice		This criterion has been addressed: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No																				
(2) Implementing the GPS results in a large net positive consequence (i.e. satisfy several evidence to decision (EtD) criteria) after consideration of all relevant outcomes and potential downstream consequences ** check the criteria considered in the development of the GPS.		This criterion has been addressed: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Evidence-to-Decision criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Importance of the problem</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Certainty in effects</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Variability and certainty in the importance of outcomes</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Magnitude of resource requirements</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Certainty of evidence of resource use</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost-effectiveness</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Equity</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acceptability</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Feasibility</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Evidence-to-Decision criteria		Importance of the problem	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certainty in effects	<input type="checkbox"/>	Variability and certainty in the importance of outcomes	<input type="checkbox"/>	Magnitude of resource requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certainty of evidence of resource use	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cost-effectiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>	Equity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Acceptability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Feasibility	<input type="checkbox"/>
Evidence-to-Decision criteria																						
Importance of the problem	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Certainty in effects	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Variability and certainty in the importance of outcomes	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Magnitude of resource requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Certainty of evidence of resource use	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Cost-effectiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Equity	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Acceptability	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
Feasibility	<input type="checkbox"/>																					
(3) Collecting and summarizing the evidence is a poor use of a guideline panel's limited time, energy or resources. The opportunity cost of collecting and summarizing the evidence is large and can be avoided.		This criterion has been addressed: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No																				
(4) There is a well-documented clear and explicit rationale connecting the indirect evidence		This criterion has been addressed: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No																				
(5) Clear and actionable (This criterion should apply to any developed statement. For example, all formal recommendations are clear and actionable)		This criterion has been addressed: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No																				
<i>Final judgement</i>																						
Did you answer Yes to <b>all</b> of the above?	<input type="radio"/> Yes Development as GPS is appropriate																					
	<input type="radio"/> No Development as GPS is <b>not</b> appropriate → Revisit the PICO question and the importance of addressing it.																					